

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/662,112 | 09/12/2003 | Russell S. Long | 02-2361 | 9095 |
| 8840 | 7590 09/29/2005 | EXAMINER | | |
| | EAMANS CHERIN & N CHNICAL CENTER | PATEL, K | PATEL, KIRAN B | |
| 100 TECHNICAL DRIVE ALCOA CENTER, PA 15069-0001 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3612 | |

DATE MAILED: 09/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | (| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/662,112 | LONG ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Kiran B. Patel | 3612 | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failui Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Au | igust 2005. | | | | |
| | | action is non-final. | | | | |
| · - | Since this application is in condition for allowar | ice except for formal matters, pro | secution as to the merits is | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposiți | on of Claims | | | | | |
| • | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-25</u> is/are pending in the app | • | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-13 and 15-25</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| • | 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| · | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| <u>ا</u> رت | oraling) are subject to restriction and/or | | | | | |
| Application | on Papers | | · | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | • | | | |
| Attachment | (e) | | | | | |
| Attachment 1) Notice | (s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) 🔲 Interview Summary (| (PTO-413) | | | |
| 2) Notice | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | te | | | |
| | nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date | 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other: | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| , apei | | У [_] Oulei | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/662,112

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

Final Rejection (9/25/05)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC \$ 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-4, 6-8, 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pringnitz (6,796,603) in view of Hanni et al. (5,287,813); Kuei-Yung (6,530,183) and Taul (4,136,985).

Regarding claims 1-4, 6-8, 14, Pringnitz (6,796,603) discloses the invention as claimed to include a frame assembly 14 comprising a top rail, a bottom rail, and a pair of side rails connecting the top and bottom rails, the frame assembly defining a rectangular shape and having an exposed side facing outward from the trailer body when the rear case assembly is attached to the trailer body and an internal side configured to be attached to the trailer body 10; a light guard assembly (Fig 1) attached to the bottom rail on the exposed side of the frame assembly 14, the light guard assembly comprising a pair of light guard members and a bumper

Art Unit: 3612

member (Fig 1) connecting the light guard members, the light guard members each defining at least one light-receiving socket; a pair of stiffener members attached (Fig 1) to the side rails, respectively, on the exposed side of the frame assembly for increasing the strength of the side rails.

However, Pringnitz (6,796,603) does not disclose extruded aluminum rails; each side rails having a groove for adapting a stiffener member and a pair of reinforcing plates.

Hanni et al. (5,287,813) discloses in Fig 1-3 extruded aluminum rails.

Kuei-Yung (6,530,183) discloses each side rails having a groove Fig 1 for accepting a stiffener member 5.

Taul (4,136,985) discloses in Fig 1 a pair of reinforcing plates.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention, as disclosed by Pringnitz (6,796,603), to include extruded aluminum rails, as disclosed by Hanni et al. (5,287,813); each side rails having a groove for adapting a stiffener member, as disclosed by Kuei-Yung (6,530,183) and a pair of reinforcing plates, as disclosed by Taul (4,136,985), to optimize the weight and the strength of the frame to achieve better fuel efficiency for the tractor trailer.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to elected claim have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

In response to applicant's argument that Hanni is nonanalogous art, it has been held that a prior art reference must either be in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if not, then be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the claimed invention. See *In re Oetiker*, 977 F.2d 1443, 24 USPQ2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the rail vehicle and tractor trailers are both considered vehicles and solution conceived for one has been used for the other for long many years.

Conclusion

2. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the

Application/Control Number: 10/662,112

Art Unit: 3612

advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will

the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of

this final action.

assigned is (571) 273-8300.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be directed to Primary Examiner Kiran B. Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-6665. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:00 to 5:00. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

Kiran B. Patel, P.E.

Page 5

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3612

September 25, 2005